FROM THE BOOKSHELF NO. 169
JOHN ALBERT

NOVEMBER 30, 1976

"HENRY KISSINGER: THE ANGUISH OF POWER" BY JOHN STOESSINGER

ANNCR: THE VOICE OF AMERICA BRINGS YOU ANOTHER IN THE WEEKLY SERIES

... FROM THE BOOKSHELF ... A SERIES IN WHICH WE REPORT ON
BOOKS AMERICAN ARE READING. TODAY JOHN ALBERT'S REPORT ON
"HENRY KISSINGER: THE ANGUISH OF POWER" BY JOHN STOESSINGER,
PUBLISHED BY NORTON.

EDITOR: PROFESSOR STOESSINGER, WHO TEACHES POLITICAL SCIENCE AT HUNTER COLLEGE IN NEW YORK CITY, IS AN OLD FRIEND OF HENRY KISSINGER. THEY WERE BOTH REFUGEES FROM NAZI GERMANY WHEN, AS STUDENTS, THEY MET AT HARVARD UNIVERSITY. BUT THIS BOOK IS FAR MORE THAN AN APOLOGIA OR A SINGLE-MINDED SONG OF PRAISE. MISTER STOESSINGER WANTS TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT BY STEERING A COURSE DETWEEN THE EXAGGERATED EARLY ADULATION AND THE MORE RECENT WAVE OF CONDEMNATION. YET HIS FINAL EVALUATION IS UNEQUIVOCALLY FAVORABLE: JOHN STOESSINGER WRITES AT THE END: "I THINK THE WORLD IS A SAFER PLACE TODAY BECAUSE OF HIS (KISSINGER'S) COURAGE AND HIS VISION. IT MIGHT EVEN BE A LITTLE BETTER. NO MORTAL MAN CAN ASK FOR MORE."

THE AUTHOR EXPLORES KISSINGER'S COLORFUL CAREER, AND HE SAYS
THAT IN ALL HIS ROLES, AND LONG REFORE HE BECAME PRESIDENTIAL
ADVISOR AND SECRETARY OF STATE, KISSINGER HAS HELD A
CONSISTENT WORLD VIEW FROM WHICH HE HAS NEVER DEVIATED, EVEN
IN GREAT ADVERSITY.

(CONT'D)

EDITOR: AS FAR BACK AS HIS COLLEGE DAYS, STOESSINGER WRITES, KISSINGER CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE ATTAINMENT OF A STABLE INTERNATIONAL ORDER IS THE HIGHEST POLITICAL AIM AND THAT FURTHER, SUCH ORDER ENTAILS THE CREATION OF A SYSTEM OF LEGITIMATE STATES FROM WHICH THE REVOLUTIONARY STATE MUST BE ELIMINATED. THIS CRUCIAL DISTINCTION BETWEEN A "LEGITIMATE" AND A "REVOLUTIONARY" STATE, THE AUTHOR MAINTAINS, HAS GUIDED KISSINGER IN ALL HIS DIPLOMATIC ENDEAVORS AND HAS DETERMINED HIS PRIORITIES.

THE AUTHOR ONCE ASKED KISSINGER WHAT HE WOULD DO IF THE LEADER OF A LEGITIMATE STATE PURSUED UNJUST ENDS, WHILE A REVOLUTIONARY HAD JUSTICE ON HIS SIDE. IN ANSWER KISSINGER QUOTED A REMARK OF GOETHE: "IF I HAD TO CHOOSE BETWEEN JUSTICE AND DISORDER. ON THE ONE HAND, AND INJUSTICE AND ORDER, ON THE OTHER, I WOULD CHOOSE THE LATTER."

THUS, THE ESSENCE OF KISSINCER'S STATECRAFT BECAME THE USE OF DIPLOMACY, BACKED BY FORCE, TO ACHIEVE A STABLE EQUILIBRIUM. ACCORDING TO STOESSINGER, KISSINGER'S INTELLECTUAL VISION OF A STABLE WORLD ORDER, DEVELOPED A OUARTER OF A CENTURY AGO. HAS SURVIVED ALMOST UNCHANGED UNTIL "WE ARE MITNESS HERE," PROFESSOR THE PRESENT TIME. STOESSINGER WRITES, "TO A UNIOUE EXPERIMENT IN THE APPLICATION OF SCHOLARSHIP TO STATESMANSHIP, OF HISTORY TO STATECRAFT."

WITH THIS EXPLANATION OF THE KISSINGER WORLD VIEW, THE AUTHOR APPROACHES KISSINGER'S CONCRETE POLICIES. FOR EXAMPLE, STOESSINGER SAYS KISSINGER WAS CONVINCED THAT A CORRECT COMBINATION OF DIPLOMACY AND POWER WOULD BRING ABOUT EDITOR: A RESOLUTION OF THE VIETNAM WAR IN A WAY WHICH WOULD NOT LOOK
TO AMERICA'S ALLIES LIKE ABANDONMENT OF SOUTH VIETNAM. BUT
STOESSINGER NOTES THAT THERE WAS A CRUCIAL FLAW IN KISSINGER'S
APPROACH -- THE FACT THAT NORTH VIETNAM HAD NEVER CEASED TO
BE A "REVOLUTIONARY" STATE WITHIN KISSINGER'S OWN DEFINITION
OF THE TERM. KISSINGER, HE SAYS, ERRONEOUSLY REGARDED HIS
MEETINGS WITH NORTH VIETNAM'S LE DUC THO AS NEGOTIATIONS
BETWEEN TWO "LEGITIMATE" STATES WHICH WOULD ULTIMATELY HAVE
TO STRIKE A BARGAIN. THE AUTHOR LEAVES NO DOUBT THAT HE
REGARDS THE KISSINGER POLICY IN THE VIETNAM CASE A TRAGIC
FAILURE.

THE DETENTE POLICY WITH THE SOVIET UNION, ON THE OTHER HAND, IS SEEN BY MISTER STOESSINGER AS THE SUCCESSFUL CENTERPIECE OF KISSINGER'S FOREIGN POLICY, NOT BECAUSE IT HAS SOLVED ALL PROBLEMS BETWEEN THE SUPERPOWERS, BUT "FOR THE OVERRIDING REASON" THAT IT HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO AVOID A NUCLEAR CONFRONTATION. "I BELIEVE," WRITES STOESSINGER, "THAT IF SUCH A WORLD CATACLYSM HAS BECOME LESS LIKELY, THIS IS IN NO SMALL MEASURE TO BE CREDITED TO KISSINGER."

PROFESSOR STOESSINGER ADMITS, HOWEVER, THAT DRAWING UP A
BALANCE SHEET OF THE SUCCESSES AND FAILURES OF DETENTE IS
A MATTER ON WHICH THOUGHTFUL PEOPLE MAY HAVE WIDELY DIFFERENT
OPINIONS.

THE AUTHOR REGARDS THE OPENING OF RELATIONS WITH CHINA AS PROBABLY KISSINGER'S MOST "UNCONTAMINATED TRIUMPH IN HIS TENURE AS A STATESMAN." ONCE HE PERCEIVED THE DEPTH OF THE RIFT BETWEEN CHINA AND THE SOVIET UNION, STOESSINGER SAYS, KISSINGER BECAME CONVINCED THAT RAPPROCHEMENT WITH CHINA

EDITOR: (CONT'D)

MIGHT MAKE THE SOVIET UNION MORE RECEPTIVE TO A GENUINE DETENTE.

STOESSINGER IS MUCH LESS FAVORABLY IMPRESSED WITH KISSINGER'S
POLICY TOWARD EUROPE, WHICH, HE POINTS OUT, WAS NEGLECTED IN
THE EARLY DAYS OF THE KISSINGER STEWARDSHIP. EUROPE, THE
AUTHOR BELIEVES, BROUGHT OUT THE NEGATIVE SIDE OF HIS PERSONAL
DIPLOMACY AND HIS RELUCTANCE TO DELEGATE RESPONSIBILITY. IN

077

THE CASE OF PORTUGAL, FOR EXAMPLE, STOESSINGER SEES THE
SECRETARY OF STATE AS HAVING GENERALLY IGNORED THE ADVICE OF
EXPERIENCED FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS. ALSO, HE THINKS
THAT, CONTRARY TO THE VIEWS OF AMERICA'S EUROPEAN ALLIES,
KISSINGER FOR A LONG TIME REGARDED PORTUGAL AS LOST TO
COMMUNISM.

THE AUTHOR RESERVES HIS MOST SEVERE CRITICISM FOR KISSINGER'S
RECORD ON CYPRUS, WHICH, HE ARGUES, DEMONSTRATES ALL THE
WEAKNESS OF PERSONAL DIPLOMACY, AND WHICH HE SAYS CAUSED
ANTI-AMERICAN REACTIONS IN BOTH GREECE AND TURKEY.

0 1

NO SINGLE INDIVIDUAL," THE AUTHOR OBSERVES, "NOT EVEN A MAN OF THE ENORMOUS TALENT AND INTELLECT OF HENRY KISSINGER, COULD DEAL EFFECTIVELY WITH MULTIPLE CRISES SIMULTANEOUSLY WITHOUT DELEGATING AT LEAST SOME RESPONSIBILITY TO OTHERS."

MISTER STOESSINGER STRONGLY DEFENDS THE KISSINGER RECORD IN THE MIDDLE EAST. HE SAYS KISSINGER MANAGED TO NARROW THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ISRAEL AND THE ARABS MORE SUCCESSFULLY THAN ANY OTHER MEDIATOR IN THE LONG HISTORY OF THAT TRAGIC CONFLICT. HERE AGAIN THE AUTHOR SEES EQUILIBRIUM AS THE MAIN OBJECTIVE. KISSINGER'S METHOD WAS TO PUT PRESSURE ON

EDITOR: (CONT'D)

ISRAEL TO TRADE TERRITORY FOR SECURITY. KISSINGER'S ROLE
IN THE YOM KIPPUR WAR, STOESSINGER WRITES, WAS NEITHER
PRO-ISRAEL NOR PRO-ARAD. HIS POLICY WAS ALWAYS DETERMINED BY
A FIRM BELIEF THAT ONLY A MAR WITHOUT VICTORY OR DEFEAT FOR
EITHER SIDE COULD CONTAIN THE SEEDS OF PEACE.

THE AUTHOR AGREES WITH THOSE CRITICS WHO SAY THAT KISSINGER
FOR A LONG TIME SHOWED NO INTEREST IN THE PROBLEMS OF
AFRICA AND LATIN AMERICA, AND THE THIRD WORLD IN GENERAL, AND
THAT HE NEGLECTED ECONOMIC QUESTIONS. BUT AFTER THE OIL
EMBARGO AND THE HEATING UP OF THE NORTH-SOUTH CONFLICT,
STOESSINGER SAYS KISSINGER QUICKLY TURNED HIS ATTENTION TO
THIRD WORLD PROBLEMS. HE WORKED OUT A POLICY ON ENERGY, ON
THE WORLD FOOD PROBLEM, AND TRIED TO STEER THE THIRD WORLD
STATES AWAY FROM CONFRONTATION POLITICS WITH THE DEVELOPED
NATIONS. HIS COMPASSION FOR THE WORLD'S DISPOSSESSED, SAYS
STOESSINGER, CAME LATE, BUT WHEN IT CAME, IT WAS SINCERE.
THE BOOK WAS NOT WRITTEN IN TIME TO INCLUDE AN ADEQUATE
EVALUATION OF THE KISSINGER INITIATIVES IN AFRICA.

STOESSINGER DEFENDS KISSINGER'S PERSONAL DIPLOMACY AS

SOMETHING THAT HAD LITTLE TO DO WITH HIS EGO. "I BELIEVE,"

HE WRITES, "THAT, IN ORDER FOR KISSINGER TO SUCCEED IN HIS

MOST HISTORIC DIPLOMATIC INITIATIVES, HE HAD TO ESTABLISH

PERSONAL DOMINANCE OVER THE BUREAUCRACY. TO ESTABLISH SUCH

CONTROL, MOREOVER, HE HAD TO ACT DECISIVELY, OFTEN

SECRETLY, AND AT TIMES, ALONE." / THE AUTHOR BELIEVES

KISSINGER WAS RIGHT IN HIS ASSUMPTION THAT, IN ORDER TO PUT INTO EFFECT A COHERENT GLOBAL POLICY, HE WOULD HAVE TO CONCENTRATE AS MUCH POWER IN HIS HANDS AS POSSIBLE."

OPT